



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,078	08/21/2003	Richard H. Selinfreund	VTI-7013.1(CIP)	6941
75	90 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP 1600 Tysons Boulevard			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/645,078	SELINFREUND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian La Forgia	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	<u>January 2004</u> .					
•	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
, 	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	,	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1. The Examiner would like to apologize for the letter the office sent out 14 September 2005. The Examiner did not see the Request for Interference filed with the application on 21 August 2003. Since none of the applications that were presented for interference were in condition for allowance, the request has been duly noted and will be acted upon at such a time that the applications are in condition for allowance.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for modifying an optical path on an optical medium, classified in class 369, subclass 275.5.
 - II. Claims 8-13, drawn to preventing unauthorized use of digital content, classified in class 713, subclass 189.
 - III. Claims 14-18, drawn to preventing the unauthorized transfer of data between systems, classified in class 726, subclass 27.
 - IV. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to authenticating a digital medium, classified in class713, subclass 176.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as modifying a medium. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as authorizing the use of digital content. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as authorizing the

Art Unit: 2131

transfer of data between two systems. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as authenticating a medium. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. During a telephone conversation with Steven Moore (Reg. # 35,959) on 3 October 2005 a provisional election was made to prosecute the invention of Group IV, claims 19 and 20.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,078 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Claims 19 and 20 have been presented for examination.

Specification

- 6. The use of the trademark Macrovision has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.
- 7. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:The left side of the page 22 is missing.
- 9. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 10. The drawings are objected to because several labels are difficult to see/read (i.e. a', b', c',
- d'). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the

Application/Control Number: 10/645,078 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under both 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,477,124 to Carson, hereinafter Carson.

Art Unit: 2131

13. As per claims 19 and 20, Carson discloses a method for authenticating a digital medium comprising:

monitoring a transfer rate of read-data resulting from the reading of valid data stored on a digital medium at a physical location (Figure 3 [block 140], column 6, lines 52-55; column 8, lines 15-32, claims 5 & 7, i.e. data transferred at a first rate, and changing the data rate when detecting a second data rate);

determining, from the monitored transfer rate, the presence of an anomaly region on the digital medium corresponding to the physical location of the valid data on the digital medium (Figures 2 [blocks 136, 138], 4 [blocks 184, 186, 188], column 2, lines 40-67, column 5, lines 27-67, column 7, lines 7-26, i.e. a second data rate); and

authenticating the digital medium based on a characteristic of the anomaly region (Figure 9 [blocks 276, 278, 280, 284], column 8, lines 15-32, i.e. data rate profile can be used for disc authentication purposes).

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to disk authentication techniques, such as:

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0046545 to Merkle, Jr. et al., which is cited to show authenticating a digital optical medium.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,078 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia Patent Examiner Art Unit 2131

clf

Pomony Examine
AUZIOI

12/9/05